MST

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1182 OF 2006
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.781 OF 2006

. . . . . .

Office Notes, Office Memorandum of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions & Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's order

. . . . . .

Mr.R.M.Pandey for applicant.
Mr.R.A.Yadav for respondent no.6.

CORAM : A.S.OKA, J.
DATE : 05th April 2007

PC :

1. Heard advocates for the parties. Learned advocate for respondent nos.3, 6 and 7 opposes the application by submitting that on 16th November 2006 the advocate appearing for the applicant made submissions on merits in the Appeal from Order.

2. A perusal of the order dated 16th November 2006 shows that the advocate who appeared for the appellant stated that he had no instructions and, therefore, the appeal has been

MST

dismissed for non prosecution.

3. In view of the averments made in the application, the appeal deserves to be restored. Accordingly, application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a). Office will assign an appropriate date for hearing of the appeal for admission.

(A.S.OKA, J.)